

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,522		10/16/2003	Shinichiro Tomikawa	Q72552	4903	
23373	7590	09/06/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE	•			ROSENBAL	JM, MARK	
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	SYLVAN	IA AVENUE, N.W.	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20037	3725			

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •	
,,	,
•	7

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/686,522		TOMIKAWA ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Mark Roser		3725				
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication app	ears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Extensions after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for repl eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS 6(a). In no even fill apply and will o cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	l. lety filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>21 Ju</i>	ılv 2005.						
	•		action is no	n-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
-	closed in accordance with the pract								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the	application.							
•—	la) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/a	• •		ideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
′=	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election red	quirement.					
Application	on Papers					-			
9)□ 7	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	r.						
<i>'</i> —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			objected to by the E	Examiner.	•			
• • • •	Applicant may not request that any obje	•	-	-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			-		FR 1.121(d).			
	The oath or declaration is objected t	_	=	= : : :		• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ <i>A</i> a)∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				-(d) or (f).				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
:									
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	,								
Attachment	(s)			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 645	4	I) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 on No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/05.			Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/686,522

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 15-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7/21/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese '195. This publication shows a system including grinding, classifying, subsequent classifying, and regrinding of oversize material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan '195. The use of sieves for classification purposes is well known in the art and of

Application/Control Number: 10/686,522

Art Unit: 3725

no patentable merit. Therefore, the use of a sieve in Japan '195 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Also, the material being treated does not further limit the apparatus.

Claims 2,6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan '195 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Japan '735. Japan '195 does not provide for a third classification step which may result in unwanted sized product. Japan '735 solves this problem by disclosing similar apparatus including the use of a third classification means. In order to provide for the desired product, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Japan '195 by providing a third classification means, taught to be desirable by Japan '735.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan '195 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Japan '819. Japan '195 does not disclose the type of mill used in the system. Japan '819 discloses a similar system including the use of a ball mill. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Japan '195 by using a ball mill, taught to be desirable by Japan '819.

Claims 9,11/9,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan '195 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Japan '464. Japan '195 does not disclose a means for removing iron. Japan '464 discloses a similar system including an iron removing means. In order to provide for the removal of iron, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide iron removal

means, taught to be desirable by Japan '464. Note the average particle size obtained would have been a design choice only based on several features such as starting material size and desired end product.

Claims 10,11/10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan '195 in view of Japan '735 as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Japan '464. See the above paragraph for the use of Japan '464.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum

Application/Control Number: 10/686,522

Art Unit: 3725

Page 5

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR